



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,682 05/22/2004		Andrea Bombelli	3681		
759	90 02/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
Andrea Bombe	elli		RAMIREZ,	RAMON O	
332 Huron Stree			ADTIBUT	DARCD NUMADED	
Decatur, GA 30030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/709,68	2	BOMBELLI, ANDREA		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		RAMON O	. RAMIREZ	3632		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	S DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even ind will apply and will atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 May 2004.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice unde					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	on.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are allowed.					
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>Parvare s</u> to take to jest and to jest a					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election re	quirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
,—	The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2004 is/are:		d or b)⊠ objected to t	by the Examiner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority ι	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119					
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have beer ents have beer	n received. n received in Application	on No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ed in this National	l Stage	
	application from the International Bur	·		•		
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ed copies not receive	d.		
A44aab	4/a)					
Attachmen	x (s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
·	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
<i>'</i> —	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/22/04</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 7 claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of Information Disclosure Statement, which has been reviewed by the Examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Fig. 5(b) must be bracketed as per Rule 184 (h)(1). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 3632

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next

Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Rule 184 (h)(1) is being reproduced below as a courtesy to Applicant:

(h)Views. The drawing must contain as many views as necessary to show the invention. The views may be plan, elevation, section, or perspective views. Detail views of portions of elements, on a larger scale if necessary, may also be used. All views of the drawing must be grouped together and arranged on the sheet(s) without wasting space, preferably in an upright position, clearly separated from one another, and must not be included in the sheets containing the specifications, claims, or abstract. Views must not be connected by projection lines and must not contain center lines. Waveforms of electrical signals may be connected by dashed lines to show the relative timing of the waveforms.

(1)Exploded views. Exploded views, with the separated parts embraced by a bracket, to show the relationship or order of assembly of various parts are permissible. When an exploded view is shown in a figure which is on the same sheet as another figure, the exploded view should be placed in brackets.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

Art Unit: 3632

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Oath/Declaration

A new oath or declaration is required because of the informality listed below. The wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended. If the wording is not correct or if all of the required affirmations have not been made or if it has not been properly subscribed to, a new oath or declaration is required. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration.

See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The Declaration states Applicant's citizenship as "CA" (Canada?) but the application data sheet indicates it is United States. Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,682 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

the terms "bar" and "shaft" as used in the specification do not comply with the broad and general definition used in the art. For example, the general definition of shaft is rotating or oscillating round, straight bar for transmitting motion or torque; and that of a bar is oblong piece of any solid material. In the instant specification element 3 is being identified as a shaft and element 1 as a plate. Even though Applicant is his own lexicographer, Applicant cannot define terms against their general definition.

the use of multiple reference numerals to identify a single element is very confusing. For example, the support clamp is identified as "1 3 7-9 28-33" and later on reference numerals 3 8 9 identify "shaft" elements, numerals 1 28 29 identify a "main clamp". Applicant should identify a single element with a single reference numeral; for example support clamp 10, workstation desk 20, etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (Pat No 5,975,469) in view of Lauzon et al. (Pat No 6,347,771).

The patent to Chen discloses an arm support comprising a clamp (1), two pivotally connected arms (shafts) (3, 5), one (3) to connected to the clamp, a pad (7) connected to the first arm (3) and a rest (6) connected to the second arm.

The patent to Lauzon et al. discloses an arm support comprising a clamp (18), three pivotally connected arms (42, 54 and 60), one (42) connected to the clamp, a pad (76) and a rest (74) connected to the third arm (60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device shown by Chen with three pivotally connected arms as shown by Lauzon et al. to provide more adjustability. As to claim 3, the second arm (54) rotates 180 degrees. As to claim 4, the third arm (60) rotates 360 degrees. As to claim 5, the use of a basket to support a device as a mouse, is considered to be an obvious matter of engineering choice having no patentable significance over the physical structure of the invention; accordingly it carries no patentable significance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6 and 7 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Applicant must amendment the specification to correct the informalities indicated by the examiner above.

Applicant must amendment the claims to delete the terms shaft and bar and add the new terms to be provided in the response.

Applicant must follow Office Rules regarding amendments to the drawings, specification and the claims during the prosecution of this application.

Please refer to the enclosed Applicant Guide for assistance.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmidt (5,402,972) and Lopez-Apodaca (2005/0184204) show other arm supports of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor ROBERT OLSZEWSKI can be reached on (571) 272-6788.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

ROR February 22, 2006 RAMON O. RAMIREZ
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

Attachment to Office Action: Information to Pro Se Inventors Regarding responses to Office Actions

INTRODUCTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant may be unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application. The value of a patent is largely dependent upon skillful preparation and prosecution. Although the services of a registered patent attorney or agent is advised, the Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent. 37 C.F.R. § 1.31; M.P.E.P. § 401. However, Applicant is encouraged to peruse the publication entitled "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

DISCUSSION

The following discussion provides general information for Applicant's benefit regarding an applicant's response, new matter, the period for response, and the certificate of mailing.

I. Response by Applicant

The applicant MUST respond to every ground of rejection and objection made in an Office action. 37 C.F.R. § 1.111. The applicant will generally present arguments that the examiner's rejections or objections were made in error; or amend the specification, drawings,

and/or claims to overcome the rejection or objection. Amendments to the application may not introduce new matter. 37 C.F.R. § 1.118.

Unless the Office explicitly requests the return of a paper, all papers mailed to the applicant are intended to be kept by the applicant for his own records. The response must be signed by ALL applicants. 37 C.F.R. § 1.33. The response must be identified by the Serial Number of the Application, the Art Unit, and the name of the examiner. An example follows:

Appl. No.:

XX/YYY,YYY

Applicant:

James Q. Inventor

Filed:

April 19, 2003

Title:

Bucket with Handle 3632

Art Unit: Examiner::

John Doe

A. Arguments

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's position, the applicant should distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action with arguments under the heading "Remarks" in the response. 37 C.F.R. § 1.111. In addition, the applicant must discuss the references cited by the examiner that explain how the claims avoid the references or patentably distinguish from them. *Id*.

B. Amendments to the Specification

An amendment to the specification may remove a rejection or objection. Any amendment to the specification must be presented as a list of additions and deletions, referring to the passages in question by page and line numbers. 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

An amendment to the specification should appear as follows:

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 5, line 15, with the following rewritten paragraph:

I -In the construction of the bucket of this invention, various materials have been selected and which offer a number of diverse properties and allow for varied functions of the article. For caustic solutions, the bucket can be made of a durable polymer plastic material. Where an aesthetic appeal is desired, the bucket can be any of one of many attractive colors. The following listing of properties serves to define possible uses for the buckets.—

Please add the following <u>new</u> paragraph after the paragraph ending on line 20 of page 6:

-- An optional feature of the articles of the invention is the addition of a tetrafluoroethylene coating to the bucket to provide protection from any contents which might be caustic. The coating can be provided to the surface during the manufacturing process or can be added in a later step.--

C. Amendments to the Claims

An amendment to the claim may remove a rejection or objection. This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-4 (canceled)

Claim 5 (original): A bucket with a black handle.

Claim 6 (original): A bucket of claim 5 wherein the handle is metal.

Claim 7 (cancel)

Claim 8 (currently amended): A bucket made of yellow green plastic.

Claim 9 (previously amended): A bucket made of aluminum-coated galvanized metal.

Claim 10 (previously added): A bucket having a circumferential upper lip.

Claim 11 (new): A plastic bucket having a blue handle.

D. Drawing Corrections

Finally, correction of the drawings may remove a rejection or objection. Changes to the drawings are submitted as proposed drawing corrections and can be made only with permission of the Office. 37 C.F.R. § 1.123. Proposed drawing corrections should be filed with the response, but should be a separate paper. M.P.E.P. § 608.02(r). The proposed corrections should appear in red ink in the drawings. M.P.E.P. § 608.02(v).

II. New Matter

As previously mentioned, no amendment to the specification, claims, or drawings may introduce new matter. 37 C.F.R. § 1.118. "New matter" constitutes any material which meets the following criteria:

- (1) It is added to the disclosure (either the specification, the claims, or the drawings) after the filing date of the application, and
- (2) It contains new information which is neither included nor implied in the original version of the disclosure. This includes the addition of physical properties, new uses, etc.

See M.P.E.P. § 706.03(o). For example, in the amendment to the claim discussed above, the new limitation of "four legs" would not constitute new matter if the specification or drawings originally described the inclusion of four legs on the chair.

III. Period For Response

An Office action generally sets a time period in which the applicant has to respond to every rejection and objection (called a shortened statutory period). 37 C.F.R. § 1.134. This time period for response appears on the cover letter (form PTO-326) of the Office action. Usually, a 3 month shortened statutory period is set. M.P.E.P. § 710.02(b). The applicant's response must be

received within the time period listed on the cover letter, or the application will be held abandoned. 37 C.F.R. § 1.135.

Currently, the Office allows the time period for response to be extended past the shortened statutory period up to a maximum of 6 months (called the maximum 6 month statutory period). In order to extend the period of response past the shortened statutory period, a request for an extension of time and payment of the appropriate fee is required. 37 C.F.R. § 1.136. The request must state that it is for "an extension of the period for response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)." The following table lists the required fees for extensions of the shortened statutory

period:

Months Past Response Date	Fee Due (Small / Large Entity)		
Response within Time Allowed	None / None		
1	\$60 / \$120		
2	\$225 / \$450		
3	\$510 / \$1020		
4	\$795/ \$1,590		
5	\$1,080/ \$2,160		

It is important to note that no extension of time is permitted that extends the period for response past the maximum 6 month statutory period. Responses received after the maximum 6 month statutory period will be held abandoned. 37 C.F.R. § 1.135.

Three examples are provided:

Example 1:

A complete response is filed four months and one day after the mailing date of an Office action. The Office action sets a 3 month shortened statutory period for response. The response

must be accompanied by a fee in the amount of \$210 (for a small entity); \$420 for a large entity) for a 2 month extension of time. The response must also contain a statement requesting "an extension of the period for response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)."

Example 2:

A complete response is filed five months and one day after the mailing date of an Office action. The Office action sets a **2 month** shortened statutory period for response. The response must be accompanied by a fee in the amount of \$740 (for a small entity); \$1,480 for a large entity) for a 4 month extension of time. The response must also contain a statement requesting "an extension of the period for response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)."

Example 3:

A complete response is filed six months and one day after the mailing date of an Office action. The Office action sets a **3 month** shortened statutory period for response. The response is held abandoned even if accompanied by a fee and a request for an extension of time.

Remember, extensions of time may not be used to extend the period for response past the maximum 6 month statutory period. 37 C.F.R. § 1.135.

IV. Certificate of Mailing

To ensure that the Applicant's response is considered timely filed, it is advisable to include a "Certificate of Mailing" on at least one page of the response. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.8. This "Certificate" should consist of the following statement:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant signature

Date

CONCLUSION AND CAVEAT

The above discussion is not intended to be an exhaustive list of all the topics that may be relevant to this particular Application. The information was provided to familiarize Applicant with the portions of a response that have historically caused problems for *pro se* inventors.

Furthermore, even if Applicant's response is in accordance with the information provided above, there is no guarantee that every requirement of the patent laws (35 U.S.C. §§ 1-376), patent rules (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.1-150.6), and Patent Office policy (M.P.E.P. §§ 101-2591) has been met. The adequacy of a response is determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 1.111; M.P.E.P. § 714.02.